



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,711	03/29/2005	Jill MacDonald Boyce	PU030225	2040
24498 7590 11/01/2007 THOMSON LICENSING LLC Two Independence Way			EXAMINER	
			AN, SHAWN S	
Suite 200 PRINCETON,	NJ 08540		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/529,711	BOYCE, JILL MACDONALD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shawn S. An	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ The	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,10 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Reopen Prosecution

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 7/05/07, and the appeal conference with the supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri, and the primary Examiner, Shawn An, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, Appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then Appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

SUPENICO TRAN EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2621

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to appealed claims have been carefully considered, and after a thoughtful review, the last Office action has been hereby vacated. However, Applicant's arguments with respect to still pending appealed claims are most in view of the following new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etoh (6,081,551) in view of Tanaka et al (4,663,665).

Regarding claim 1, Etoh discloses a video encoder (Fig. 1) for encoding video signal data for an image block and a plurality of reference picture indices, comprising

a reference weighting factor assignor (22a, 22b) responsive to the relative position between the image block (Input Image to 22a and 22b via 21a and 21b, respectively) and first and second reference pictures indicated by the plurality of reference picture indices (23, 24), wherein the reference picture weighting factor assignor determines respective implicit weighting factors for the first and second reference pictures (Fig. 5).

Etoh does not particularly disclose calculating respective implicit weighting factors for the first and second reference pictures <u>based on respective distances of the image block to the first and second reference pictures</u>.

However, Tanaka et al teaches TV system conversion apparatus comprising motion vector detecting method, wherein the method comprises calculating respective implicit weighting factors (Fig. 6d-6f) for the first and second reference pictures based

Art Unit: 2621

on respective distances (Fig. 6d, MV's in Horizontal and Vertical direction) of the image block to the first and second reference pictures for preventing a deterioration in picture quality, thereby obtaining an improvement of picture quality by utilizing the motion-compensated interpolated signal (col. 7, lines 5-66).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method for encoding video signal data for an image block as taught by Etoh to incorporate Tanaka et al's teaching as above so as to calculate respective implicit weighting factors for the first and second reference pictures based on respective distances of the image block to the first and second reference pictures for preventing a deterioration in picture quality, thereby obtaining an improvement of picture quality by utilizing the motion-compensated interpolated signal.

**Regarding claim 3**, Etoh discloses a reference picture store (23, 24) in communication with the reference weighting factor assignor for providing a reference picture corresponding to each reference picture index.

**Regarding claim 4**, Etoh discloses a VLC (12) in communication with the reference weighting factor assignor for encoding the first and second reference picture indices.

Regarding claim 5, Etoh discloses a MC unit (22a, 22b) in communication with the reference weighting factor assignor for providing motion compensated reference pictures responsive to the reference weighting factor assignor.

Regarding claim 6, Etoh discloses a multiplier (Fig. 5, multiplying by 1/8) in signal communication with the MC unit and the reference weighting factor assignor for applying a weighting factor to a motion compensated reference picture (col. 8, lines 11-23).

**Regarding claim 7**, Etoh discloses prediction means (25) for forming first and second predictors (see switch selector, 27) from two different reference pictures.

Regarding claim 8, Etoh discloses two different reference pictures being both from the same direction relative to the image/picture block (Fig. 12).

**Regarding claim 9**, Etoh discloses a method for encoding video signal data for an image block, comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

receiving a substantially uncompressed image block (Fig. 1, Input Image);

determining implicit weighting factors (22a, 22b; Fig. 5) for the image block responsive to the relative positioning between the image block (Input Image to 22a and 22b via 21a and 21b, respectively) and first and second reference pictures indicated by the plurality of reference picture indices (23, 24);

computing motion vectors (21a, 21b) for the image block and each of the first and second reference pictures;

motion compensating (22a, 22b) each of the first and second reference pictures in correspondence with the respective motion vectors;

multiplying (Fig. 5, multiplying by 1/8) each of the motion compensated reference pictures by its calculated implicit weighting factor to form a weighted motion compensated reference picture (col. 8, lines 11-23);

combining each of the weighted motion compensated reference pictures into a combined weighted motion compensated reference picture (22a, 22b);

subtracting (10) the combined weighted motion compensated reference picture from the substantially uncompressed image block; and

encoding (5, 6) a signal indicative of the difference between the substantially uncompressed image block and the combined weighted motion compensated reference picture along with the corresponding indices of the first and second reference pictures.

Etoh does not particularly disclose calculating respective implicit weighting factors for the first and second reference pictures <u>based on respective distances of the image block to the first and second reference pictures</u>.

However, Tanaka et al teaches TV system conversion apparatus comprising motion vector detecting method, wherein the method comprises calculating respective implicit weighting factors (Fig. 6d-6f) for the first and second reference pictures based on respective distances (Fig. 6d, MV's in Horizontal and Vertical direction) of the image block to the first and second reference pictures for preventing a deterioration in picture quality, thereby obtaining an improvement of picture quality by utilizing the motion-compensated interpolated signal (col. 7, lines 5-66).

Art Unit: 2621

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method for encoding video signal data for an image block as taught by Etoh to incorporate Tanaka et al's teaching as above so as to calculate respective implicit weighting factors for the first and second reference pictures based on respective distances of the image block to the first and second reference pictures for preventing a deterioration in picture quality, thereby obtaining an improvement of picture quality by utilizing the motion-compensated interpolated signal.

**Regarding claim 11**, Etoh discloses determining MV for the retrieved reference pictures relative to the image block (21a, 21b).

**Regarding claim 13**, Etoh teaches the relative positioning of an ordinary frames and the plurality of template pictures/frames corresponds to the relative display times of the respective pictures/frames (Fig. 21).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to one of skill in the art to realize that the relative positioning of the image block and the plurality of reference pictures/frames corresponds to the relative display times of the respective pictures/frames to be in sync, so that there is no interruption in displaying the respective image block and the plurality of reference pictures/frames in proper order/sequence.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etoh and Tanaka et al as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Bellers (6,782,054 B2).

Regarding claim 14, Etoh discloses testing within a search region for every displacement within a pre-determined offsets relative to the image block (Fig. 19a; col. 2, lines 18-48), and calculating SAD (26) of each pixel in the image block with a motion <u>estimated</u> reference picture.

Etoh does not particularly disclose <u>selecting the offset with the lowest SAD and</u> mean squared error as the motion vector.

However, Bellers teaches method and apparatus for MV estimation, wherein a block matching algorithm on the basis of regions or blocks for which a MV is being searched by comparing the pixel value contained in these blocks, and the evaluation is

Art Unit: 2621

accomplished by using an error function such as SAD or means squared error, and the vector yielding the smallest (lowest) error is selected as the best match providing the best motion vector (col. 2, lines 62-67; col. 3, lines 1-12).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method for encoding video signal data for an image block as taught by Etoh to incorporate Bellers' teachings as above so as to select the offset with the lowest SAD and mean squared error as the (best) motion vector for providing an improved motion estimation.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2 and (10, 12) are objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims 1 and 9, respectively, but would be allowable:

if claim 2 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claims; and

if either claim 10 or claim 12 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 9 and any intervening claims.

Dependent claims 2, 10, and 12 recite novel features, wherein the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the novel features.

Accordingly, if the amendments are made to the claims listed above, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment (as filed on 1/29/07) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/529,711 Page 8

Art Unit: 2621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn S An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.
- 9. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAWN AN PRIMARY EXAMINER